





## Gilroy Campus Master Planning Taskforce Meeting Notes August 4, 2020 – 10:30 am to 12:00 pm Zoom Conference Call

- Present:Dr. Kathleen Rose, Superintendent/President<br/>Michael Renzi, Vice President, Administrative Services<br/>Denee Pescarmona, Vice President, Academic Affairs and Student Services<br/>John Lawton-Haehl, Faculty<br/>Doug Achterman, Faculty<br/>Marla Dresch, Faculty<br/>Candice Whitney, Director, Admissions and Records<br/>Shawn Mulcare, Media Services<br/>Carina Cisneros, Interim Dean Student Success and Equity, Special Programs<br/>Mikayla Acevedo, Student Representative<br/>Kimberly Wu, Student Representative<br/>Debbie Santos, Faculty<br/>Ryan Shook, Faculty
- Absent:
   Brent Boyd, Facilities & Maintenance

   Jeff Gopp, Director of Facilities Services

   Randy Brown, Interim Dean of Student Learning and Student Success, Foundational Skills and

   Academic Support
- Also Present: Rob Barthelman, Steinberg Hart Benedetta Del Vecchio, Steinberg Hart Ryan Kucinski, Steinberg Hart Matt Kennedy, AKG Carol Anderson, AKG
  - 1. Matt reviewed the Bond List Revision #1 that was approved at the July 14<sup>th</sup> Board of Trustees meeting, highlighting the changes that were made. He noted that the Gavilan Campus projects will happen in three phases to eliminate the need for swing space. John questioned why we are carrying a budget of \$20.7M for the Visual and Performing Arts center since he feels it is unlikely to get the additional funding needed from the state and private donors. Dr. Rose acknowledged his concern and noted that the theater is important to the community and while we will continue to push for state and private funding for a new theater, there is the possibility of renovating the current theater. The bones to that building are in great condition and \$20.7M would allow for a complete modernization of the current building.
  - 2. Rob gave a Facility Master Plan (FMP) summary, noting the process of using the following planning principles as a framework for their recommendations:
    - a. Programmatic Needs
    - b. Community Presence
    - c. Access and Wayfinding
    - d. Connections
    - e. Sense of Place
    - f. Heart of Campus





- 3. The FMP update focuses on three major projects:
  - a. Library and Student Resource Center
  - b. STEM Building
  - c. Performing Arts Center (PAC) At this time "visual" is not being included in the performing arts center because the arts department would like to remain in their current building.

The taskforce had the following questions regarding the FMP update:

Q: The existing TV studio is currently part of the library, where would it go when the library is demolished?

A: At this time we are not sure. It can stay where it is located without having to be demolished with the library or it could be incorporated into the new PAC.

Q: Is GECA staying where it is currently located?

A: The current plan (15 year) leaves GECA where it currently is. John noted that ingress and egress need to be considered as there is currently an issue with staff and students getting onto campus between 8:00 am and 8:30 am due to the GECA traffic.

- 4. Bene reviewed the Design Guidelines survey results which fed the Design Guidelines, along with the master plan recommendations. The Design Guidelines are organized in three categories:
  - a. Campus Guidelines (for physical characteristics of the site)
  - b. Building Guidelines (for all future buildings, including new construction and renovations).
  - a. Landscape (for all open spaces).

Design Guidelines for the Gilroy campus will respect the legacy of the campus (materials, colors).

- 5. Rob reviewed the next steps for the Library and Student Resource Center project. This is the first project for the Gilroy campus and Steinberg Hart has maintained the focus of the planning principles in regards to this project. Adjacency studies are in process for both Student Services and the Library and we are a month from being 90% complete with the Library and Student Resource Center programming.
- 6. The taskforce had the following questions regarding the New Construction projects after the LSRC:
  - a. Q: What is the timing for STEM building programming effort?
    - A: The planning team will evaluate and get back to the taskforce.
- 7. Next meeting topic: Design Guidelines document review
- 8. The taskforce agreed to meet every other month. The next meeting dates are as follows:
  - a. Tuesday, September 8, 2020, 10:30 am 12:00 pm
  - b. Thursday, November 12, 2020, 1:00 pm 2:30 pm
  - c. Tuesday, January 12, 2021, 10:30 am 12:00 pm